

Neutrino mixing matrix in the 3-3-1 model with heavy leptons and A_4 symmetry

Furong Yin*

*Institute of Theoretical Physics,
Chinese Academy of Science.*

P.O.Box 2735, Beijing 100080, China.

Graduate School of the Chinese Academy of Science.

We study the lepton sector in the model based on the local gauge group $SU(3)_c \otimes SU(3)_L \otimes U(1)_X$ which do not contain particles with exotic electric charges. The seesaw mechanism and discrete A_4 symmetry are introduced into the model to understand why neutrinos are especially light and the observed pattern of neutrino mixing. The model provides a method for obtaining the tri-bimaximal mixing matrix in the leading order. A non-zero mixing angle V_{e3} presents in the modified mixing matrix.

PACS numbers: 14.60.Pq; 14.60.St; 12.60.-i.

I. INTRODUCTION

There is convincing evidence for solar and atmospheric neutrino oscillations [1]. And the experimental results of Super-Kamiokande [2], KamLAND [3] and SNO [4] confirm that neutrinos have small but non-zero masses and oscillate. The current experimental data are consistent with so called the tri-bimaximal form [5][6] which, apart from phase redefinitions, is given by,

$$V_{tri-bi} = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{2}{\sqrt{6}} & \frac{1}{\sqrt{3}} & 0 \\ -\frac{1}{\sqrt{6}} & \frac{1}{\sqrt{3}} & \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \\ -\frac{1}{\sqrt{6}} & \frac{1}{\sqrt{3}} & -\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \end{pmatrix} \quad (1)$$

The explanation of the smallness of the neutrino masses and the profile of their mixing as required by recent experiments have been a great puzzle in particle physics. Since in the successful Standard Model(SM), only the massless neutrinos which pair charged leptons in three left-handed flavor generations are considered, it appears obviously that massive neutrinos can be regarded as the definitely signature of new physics beyond SM.

The neutrinos may acquire naturally small Majorana masses through the effective dimension-five operator O_5 [7]. For the standard $SU(2)_L \otimes U(1)_Y$ gauge model, the realizations of this operator at tree and one loop level were already investigated in the Ref [8], one of the tree level realizations is of course the canonical seesaw mechanism [9] with one heavy right-handed neutrino N_R for each ν_i , whereas the new particles required in the tree-level realizations are most likely too heavy to be observed experimentally in the near future. In order to reduce the scale of new physics to be only a few TeV and thus be observable at future accelerators, another higgs doublet with a naturally small VEV is introduced into the model [8].

On the other hand, it is an interesting challenge to formulate dynamical principles that can lead to the tri-bimaximal mixing pattern given by Eq. (1) in a completely natural way as a first approximation, and many theoretical efforts have been made to produce such a mixing pattern [10]-[14]. For some years Ma [11] has advocated choosing A_4 , namely, the symmetry group of the tetrahedron as a family group. In a number of interesting papers with various collaborators, Ma has shown that a broken flavour symmetry based on the non-Abelian discrete group A_4 appears to be particularly fit for this purpose [11]-[14]. This non-Abelian discrete finite group admits one three-dimensional representation $\underline{3}$ as well as three one-dimensional representations $\underline{1}$, $\underline{1}'$ and $\underline{1}''$, appears simplest discrete symmetry perfect for 3 families. In most original A_4 models, the SM left-handed leptons l_L and right-handed charged leptons l_R transform as $\underline{3}$ and $(\underline{1} \oplus \underline{1}' \oplus \underline{1}'')$, respectively, or in opposition. The SM singlet right-handed neutrinos ν_R which transform as $\underline{3}$ or $(\underline{1} \oplus \underline{1}' \oplus \underline{1}'')$ under A_4 are introduced into the model for obtaining see-saw neutrinos masses and at the same time preserving the $SU(2)_L \otimes U(1)_Y$ gauge symmetry. With these representations, the A_4 models can naturally obtain the tri-bimaximal mixing at first approximation [13].

Here we would like to extend the above application to models based on the local gauge group $SU(3)_c \otimes SU(3)_L \otimes U(1)_X$ (hereafter 3-3-1 model) with a corresponding enlargement of fermion representations [15]. Because of the two

*Electronic address: yfr@itp.ac.cn

important features that the number of family is related by anomaly cancellation to the number of colors, and the third family is treated differently from the first and second families the 3-3-1 model has received much attentions [15]-[23]. With experimental establishment of the neutrino oscillations a number of paper has been published to discuss the neutrino masses and mixing patterns in the model [16]. In this paper, we introduce the canonical seesaw mechanism and A_4 symmetry into the framework of one specific 3-3-1 model and study the neutrinos masses and mixing matrix. We show that in this model, the masses of neutrinos and charged leptons are generated by two separate scalar sectors, and the neutrinos can naturally obtain the small masses. In the leading order the neutrino mixing is just the tri-bimaximal matrix, and after a simple modification, the non-zero mixing parameter V_{e3} is present.

The paper is organized as follows: In Section II, we give a brief review of the 3-3-1 models and define the framework of our work. In Section III, the A_4 symmetry is introduced into the model, and the mass mechanisms and mixing matrix of leptons are represented. Section IV discusses the modified neutrino masses and mixing matrix. The conclusions are given in Section V. Appendix states the basic of A_4 symmetry and the potentials which can give the VEV form of the scalars we used in the paper.

II. THE 3-3-1 MODEL WITH THE SINGLET RIGHT-HANDED NEUTRINOS

There are different versions of 3-3-1 model. They are nicely reviewed in ref [24]. Consider the electric charge associated with the unbroken gauge symmetry $U(1)_Q$ which is defined in general as a linear combination of the diagonal generators of the group,

$$\hat{Q} = \hat{T}_3 + \frac{2}{\sqrt{3}}b\hat{T}_8 + X\hat{I}_3, \quad (2)$$

and then

$$\hat{Y} = \frac{2}{\sqrt{3}}b\hat{T}_8 + X\hat{I}_3, \quad (3)$$

where T_a ($a = 1, 2, \dots, 8$) are the eight generators of $SU(3)_L$ and I is the unit matrix.

The value of the b parameter determines the fermion assignment and it is customary to use this number to classify the different 3-3-1 models. Taking $b = \pm 3/2$, for example, we obtain the original Frampton, Pisano and Pleitez models [15]. In this version the charge conjugation of the right-handed charged lepton for each generation is combined with the usual $SU(2)_L$ doublet left-handed leptons components to form an $SU(3)$ triplet $(\nu, e, e^c)_L$. In the sense that no extra leptons are needed the version can be considered as minimal. There is no right-handed neutrino in this minimal version but there are quarks with exotic charges $-4/3$ and $5/3$. As it shown in refs. [16, 23], if we accommodate the known left-handed quark and lepton isodoublets in the two upper components of 3 and 3^* (or 3^* and 3), and forbid the exotic electrical charges in the possible models, then $b = \pm 1/2$ is mandatory. The original version with right-handed neutrino [26]-[29] is in this category. In this version a left-handed antineutrino is added to each usual $SU(2)_L$ doublet left-handed lepton to form a triplet $(\nu, e, \nu^c)_L$.

For our purpose we will consider another version with $b \pm 1/2$. The $SU(3)_c \otimes SU(3)_L \otimes U(1)_X$ anomaly free fermion contents can be summarized as follows:

In the lepton sector, we have

$$\varphi_{iL} = \begin{pmatrix} \nu_i \\ e_i \\ E_i \end{pmatrix}_L \sim (1, 3, -\frac{2}{3}), \quad N_{iR} \sim (1, 1, 0), \quad e_{iR} \sim (1, 1, -1), \quad E_{iR} \sim (1, 1, -1), \quad (4)$$

where $i = 1, 2, 3$ is a family index and $E_{iL/R}$ are negatively charged heavy leptons. The left-handed leptons and the charge conjugation of its right-handed counterparts in this version appear in different multiplets in contrast to the minimal version [24, 25] or the version with the right-handed neutrino [26] where all lepton degrees of freedom, *i.e.*, e_{iL} , $(e_{iR})^c$, or ν_{iL} , $(\nu_{iR})^c$ belong to the same triplet. Therefore the present model has an extra global $U(1)$ symmetry and we can assign a lepton number for every fields. The introduction of right-handed neutral Weyl states N_{iR} is optional. The version with no neutral Weyl states has been studied in refs. [22, 27]. They are introduced here is for the tree level realization of the canonical see-saw mechanism and obviously it does not change the anomaly cancellation.

In the quark sector, we have

$$Q_{aL} = \begin{pmatrix} d_a \\ u_a \\ U_a \end{pmatrix}_L \sim (3, 3^*, \frac{1}{3}), \quad U_{aR} \sim (3, 1, \frac{2}{3}) \quad a = 1, 2,$$

$$Q_{3L} = \begin{pmatrix} u_3 \\ d_3 \\ D_3 \end{pmatrix}_L \sim (3, 3, 0), \quad D_{3R} \sim (3, 1, -\frac{1}{3})$$

$$u_{iR} \sim (3, 1, \frac{2}{3}), \quad d_{iR} \sim (3, 1, -\frac{1}{3}) \quad i = 1, 2, 3. \quad (5)$$

Note here the five quarks u_{iR} and U_{aR} have the same quantum number and so are the four quarks d_{aR} and D_{3R} . One can see that the third generation is treated differently from the first two generations here as we mentioned in the introduction.

We now consider the most general set of scalars that can Yukawa couple to the above leptons and quarks through either lepton bilinears, quark bilinears, or quark-lepton bilinears [17]. Then all the possible scalar representations under $SU(3)_c \otimes SU(3)_L \otimes U(1)_X$ are: $(1, 1, 0)$, $(1, 1, -1)$, $(1, 1, -2)$, $(1, 3, -\frac{2}{3})$, $(1, 3, \frac{1}{3})$, $(1, 3, \frac{4}{3})$, $(1, 6, -\frac{4}{3})$, $(3, 1, -\frac{1}{3})$, $(3, 1, \frac{2}{3})$, $(3, 1, -\frac{4}{3})$, $(3, 3, 0)$, $(3, 1, 1)$, $(3, 3^*, -\frac{2}{3})$, $(3, 3^*, \frac{1}{3})$, $(3, 3^*, \frac{4}{3})$, $(3, 6^*, 0)$, $(3, 6, -\frac{2}{3})$, $(3, 8, -\frac{1}{3})$, $(3, 8, \frac{1}{3})$, $(6, 1, \frac{1}{3})$, $(6, 1, \frac{4}{3})$, $(6, 1, -\frac{2}{3})$, $(6, 3, \frac{2}{3})$, $(6, 3^*, 0)$, $(6, 6, 0)$, $(6, 8, \frac{1}{3})$, $(8, 3^*, -\frac{1}{3})$, $(8, 3^*, \frac{2}{3})$, and their complex conjugates. As for us, in this work, we choose the scalars to break the symmetry following the pattern,

$$SU(3)_c \otimes SU(3)_L \otimes U(1)_X \longrightarrow SU(3)_c \otimes SU(2)_L \otimes U(1)_Y \longrightarrow SU(3)_c \otimes U(1)_Q \quad (6)$$

and give, at the same time, masses to the fermion fields in the model. Then the minimally required scalars are:

$$\chi = \begin{pmatrix} \chi^+ \\ \chi'^0 \\ \chi^0 \end{pmatrix} \sim (1, 3, \frac{1}{3}), \quad \rho = \begin{pmatrix} \rho^+ \\ \rho^0 \\ \rho'^0 \end{pmatrix} \sim (1, 3, \frac{1}{3}), \quad \eta = \begin{pmatrix} \eta^0 \\ \eta^- \\ \eta'^- \end{pmatrix} \sim (1, 3, -\frac{2}{3}), \quad (7)$$

and their complex conjugates. And there may be multiple scalars of each type, in particular when A_4 symmetry is introduced later. The necessary VEVs are:

$$\langle \chi \rangle = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ V \end{pmatrix}, \quad \langle \rho \rangle = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ v \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \langle \eta \rangle = \begin{pmatrix} u \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}. \quad (8)$$

here the VEV V is responsible for the first breakdown while v and u are responsible for the second breakdown. So χ and ρ have the same quantum numbers but they get VEVs at different mass scales. Then the scalar χ breaks $SU(3)_c \otimes SU(3)_L \otimes U(1)_X$ to $SU(3)_c \otimes SU(2)_L \otimes U(1)_Y$ and gives large masses to the new fermions as well as non-SM gauge bosons. The remaining scalars implement $SU(2)_L \times U(1)_Y$ breaking and give the realistic masses to the known fermions and bosons. Just like the standard $SU(2)_L \otimes U(1)_Y$ gauge model of Refs. [8, 33], in which in order to acquire naturally small neutrinos masses, the charged leptons get the masses from the doublet as in the SM, while the masses of neutral leptons come from another doublet with a naturally small VEV, in this model, the charged leptons and neutral leptons get the masses from v and u , respectively, as showed in section III. To keep consistency with the effective theory, the VEVs in the model satisfy the constraint: $V > v > u$.

Notice that flavor changing neutral current (FCNC) in the 3-3-1 models in general is not suppressed naturally either because of the different treatment to the third generation from the 1st and 2nd generations of fermions, or the violation of Glashow-Weinberg natural flavor conservation law [19]. This is also true to the present version. This issue has been studied in a number of papers [20], so we will not discuss it further.

III. DISCRETE SYMMETRY A_4 AND LEPTONS MASSES

As discussed in section I the non-abelian discrete group A_4 provides interesting examples of leading to the tri-bimaximal mixing matrix. The group consists of 12 elements and has 4 irreducible representations (see refs. [30, 31] or the appendix). Depending on what representations of A_4 we choose for the various fermion and scalar fields there are different schemes. Here we follow the discussion on the A_4 model of the standard $SU(2)_L \times U(1)_Y$ theory in refs. [30] and try the following A_4 assignment to the leptons of the 3-3-1 model defined in the last section,

$$\begin{aligned} \varphi_{iL} = (\nu_i, e_i, E_i)_L^T &\sim \underline{\underline{3}}, & N_{iR} &\sim \underline{\underline{3}}, \\ e_{1R} \oplus e_{2R} \oplus e_{3R} &\sim (\underline{\underline{1}} \oplus \underline{\underline{1}}' \oplus \underline{\underline{1}}''), & E_{iL}^c &\sim \underline{\underline{3}}. \end{aligned} \quad (9)$$

Notice that the SM right-handed charged fermions are assigned to a $\underline{\underline{1}} \oplus \underline{\underline{1}}' \oplus \underline{\underline{1}}''$ structure whereas the right-handed neutrinos and heavy right-handed charged fermions are each given to the $\underline{\underline{3}}$ representation.

Then A_4 and $SU(3)_C \otimes SU(3)_L \otimes U(1)_X$ invariant Yukawa interactions require to enlarge the scalar sector correspondingly. Most general scalars now can be:

- scalars ρ_i ($i = 1, 2, 3$) which transform as $\underline{3}$ representation of A_4 and Yukawa couple to the lepton bilinears φ_{iL} and e_{iR} ;
- scalars χ , $\chi_{1'}$, $\chi_{1''}$ and χ_i which transform as $\underline{1}$, $\underline{1}'$, $\underline{1}''$, and $\underline{3}$ representations of A_4 respectively are needed to Yukawa couple to the lepton bilinears φ_{iL} and E_{iR} ;
- scalars η , $\eta_{1'}$, $\eta_{1''}$ and η_i which transform as $\underline{1}$, $\underline{1}'$, $\underline{1}''$ and $\underline{3}$ representations respectively and Yukawa couple to the lepton bilinears φ_{iL} and N_{iR} ,

and finally we need $SU(3)_C \otimes SU(3)_L \otimes U(1)_X$ singlet scalar ξ to generate tree-level Majorana mass term $M_N(N_R)^c C N_R$.

In practice we find it is sufficient to consider scalars ρ_i , χ , η , η_i and ξ . Then the A_4 and $SU(3)_c \otimes SU(3)_L \otimes U(1)_X$ invariant Yukawa interactions in the lepton sector read,

$$L_Y = \lambda_1(\bar{\varphi}_{iL}\rho_j)e_{1R} + \lambda_2(\bar{\varphi}_{iL}\rho_j)''e_{2R} + \lambda_3(\bar{\varphi}_{iL}\rho_j)'e_{3R} + \lambda_4(\bar{\varphi}_{iL}E_{jR})\chi + \lambda_5(\bar{\varphi}_{iL}\rho_j E_{kR}) \\ + M_N(N_R)^c C N_R + h_1(\bar{\varphi}_{iL}N_{jR})\eta + h_2(\bar{\varphi}_{iL}N_{jR}\eta_k) + h.c. + \dots \quad (10)$$

Here, $(\underline{33})$ transforms as $\underline{1}$, $(\underline{33})'$ transforms as $\underline{1}'$, $(\underline{33})''$ transforms as $\underline{1}''$, $(\underline{333})$ transforms as $\underline{1}$ under A_4 symmetry. We can see that this interaction actually has a quite simple structure.

From the above Yukawa interaction, the 6×6 mass matrix of charged lepton (e_i , E_i) is found to have the form,

$$m_{eE} = \begin{pmatrix} \lambda_1 v_1 & \lambda_2 v_1 & \lambda_3 v_1 & 0 & \lambda_5 v_3 & \lambda_5 v_2 \\ \lambda_1 v_2 & \lambda_2 \omega v_2 & \lambda_3 \omega^2 v_2 & \lambda_5 v_3 & 0 & \lambda_5 v_1 \\ \lambda_1 v_3 & \lambda_2 \omega^2 v_3 & \lambda_3 \omega v_3 & \lambda_5 v_2 & \lambda_5 v_1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \lambda_4 V & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \lambda_4 V & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \lambda_4 V \end{pmatrix} \quad (11)$$

where V and v_i are the VEVs for χ and ρ_i respectively. v_i are taken to be relatively real, and the numerical subscripts 1, 2, 3 of v denote the A_4 components, as in the appendix.

For simplicity we take,

$$v_1 = v_2 = v_3 \equiv v \quad (12)$$

Then the mass matrix can be diagonalized by using the unitary transformations from the weak interaction eigenstates to mass eigenstates,

$$\begin{pmatrix} e_i \\ E_i \end{pmatrix}_L^w = U_L^l \begin{pmatrix} e_i \\ E_i \end{pmatrix}_L^m, \quad \begin{pmatrix} e_i \\ E_i \end{pmatrix}_R^w = U_R^l \begin{pmatrix} e_i \\ E_i \end{pmatrix}_R^m \quad (13)$$

where the 6×6 unitary matrices $U_{L,R}^l$ can be written as [32],

$$U_L^l = \begin{pmatrix} A_L & B_L \\ F_L & G_L \end{pmatrix}, \quad U_R^l = \begin{pmatrix} A_R & B_R \\ F_R & G_R \end{pmatrix} \quad (14)$$

with

$$A_L = U(\omega) \cdot \begin{pmatrix} c_1 & & \\ & c_2 & \\ & & c_3 \end{pmatrix}, \quad B_L = U(\omega) \cdot \begin{pmatrix} s_1 & & \\ & s_2 & \\ & & s_3 \end{pmatrix} \\ F_L = U(\omega) \cdot \begin{pmatrix} -s_1 & & \\ & -s_2 & \\ & & -s_3 \end{pmatrix}, \quad G_L = U(\omega) \cdot \begin{pmatrix} c_1 & & \\ & c_2 & \\ & & c_3 \end{pmatrix}, \\ A_R = I_{3 \times 3}, \quad B_R = F_R = 0, \quad G_R = U(\omega)$$

$$U(\omega) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{3}} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 1 & \omega & \omega^2 \\ 1 & \omega^2 & \omega \end{pmatrix}. \quad (15)$$

Where $c_i = \cos\theta_L^{e_i}$ represents the mixing of e_{iL} with the heavy left-handed charged leptons E_{iL} with,

$$tg\theta_1 = \frac{2\lambda_4 v}{\lambda_5 V}, \quad tg\theta_2 = -\frac{\lambda_4 v}{\lambda_5 V}, \quad tg\theta_3 = -\frac{\lambda_4 v}{\lambda_5 V}.$$

The charged lepton masses are given by,

$$m_{e_i} = \sqrt{3}\lambda_i c_i v, \quad m_{E_i} = \lambda_4 c_i V + \Delta m_i.$$

Please note that one also can add another symmetry (such as $U(1)$ or Z_2) into the model to let the gauge invariant term $\bar{\varphi}_{iL}\rho_j E_{kR}$ absent from the Lagrangian and $c_i = 1$. Then the mass matrix and transform matrix will be more simple.

Now let us consider the neutrino mass matrix. The right-handed neutrino bare Majorana mass term is trivial, which is M_N times the identity. The Yukawa term $(\bar{\varphi}_{iL} N_{iR})\eta$ also contributes trivially to the Dirac mass matrix a term proportional to the 3×3 identity matrix, *i.e.* $h_1 u$ times the identity. The only non-trivial structure is from contribution to the Dirac mass matrix supplied by the Yukawa coupling to η_i , which is,

$$\begin{pmatrix} 0 & h_2 \langle \eta_3 \rangle & h_2 \langle \eta_2 \rangle \\ h_2 \langle \eta_3 \rangle & 0 & h_2 \langle \eta_1 \rangle \\ h_2 \langle \eta_2 \rangle & h_2 \langle \eta_1 \rangle & 0 \end{pmatrix}. \quad (16)$$

After making the assumption about A_4 breaking as,

$$\langle \eta_1 \rangle = u', \quad \langle \eta_2 \rangle = \langle \eta_3 \rangle = 0 \quad (17)$$

We obtain the full 6×6 neutrino mass matrix on the weak interaction eigenstates,

$$m_{\nu N} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & h_1 u & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & h_1 u & h_2 u' \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & h_2 u' & h_1 u \\ h_1 u & 0 & 0 & M_N & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & h_1 u & h_2 u' & 0 & M_N & 0 \\ 0 & h_2 u' & h_1 u & 0 & 0 & M_N \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & m_D \\ m_D^T & m_S \end{pmatrix} \quad (18)$$

So the see-saw mass matrix for (ν_i) is,

$$m_\nu = -m_D m_s^{-1} m_D^T = -\frac{1}{M_N} \begin{pmatrix} (h_1 u)^2 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & (h_1 u)^2 + (h_2 u')^2 & 2h_1 h_2 u u' \\ 0 & 2h_1 h_2 u u' & (h_1 u)^2 + (h_2 u')^2 \end{pmatrix}, \quad (19)$$

which can be written as a simple form,

$$m_\nu = -\frac{1}{M_N} \begin{pmatrix} \gamma & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \alpha & \beta \\ 0 & \beta & \alpha \end{pmatrix}. \quad (20)$$

This mass matrix can be diagonalized by the transformation,

$$V_L^\nu = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \sqrt{2} & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & -1 \\ 1 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}. \quad (21)$$

And the mass matrix is given by,

$$-\frac{1}{M_N} \begin{pmatrix} (h_1 u + h_2 u')^2 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & (h_1 u)^2 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & (h_1 u - h_2 u')^2 \end{pmatrix}. \quad (22)$$

Then we can choose the free parameters h_i s, M_N and u to get the small masses of neutrinos. If h_i is of order 1 and M_N is of order $\sim TeV$, $u, u' \sim MeV$, The neutrinos will have the masses of order eV.

Using the definition for the observed neutrino mixing matrix $V = A_L^\dagger V_L^\nu$ [12, 33], we obtain the mixing matrix in this order,

$$V = A_L^\dagger V_L^\nu = \begin{pmatrix} c_1 & & \\ & c_2 & \\ & & c_3 \end{pmatrix} \cdot \begin{pmatrix} \frac{2}{\sqrt{6}} & \frac{1}{\sqrt{3}} & 0 \\ -\frac{1}{\sqrt{6}} & \frac{1}{\sqrt{3}} & \frac{i}{\sqrt{2}} \\ -\frac{1}{\sqrt{6}} & \frac{1}{\sqrt{3}} & -\frac{i}{\sqrt{2}} \end{pmatrix} = P_c V_{tri-bi} P_\phi \quad (23)$$

where V_{tri-bi} is defined in the Eq. (1), the phase matrices P_c and P_ϕ are both diagonal and with the diagonal elements c_i and the diagonal elements 1, 1 and i respectively.

IV. MODIFIED NEUTRINOS MASSES AND MIXING MATRIX

More generally, according to the low energy effective field theory analysis [7] neutrino masses are generated by the unique effective dimension-five operator O_5 which has been studied by many papers in the standard $SU(2)_L \otimes U(1)_Y$ model [7, 8] and A_4 model [12, 33] and has the form as,

$$O_5 = \frac{\lambda_{ij} (H\varphi_L)_i^T (H'\varphi_L)_j}{\Lambda} + h.c. \quad (24)$$

Note here the charge conjugation matrix C between the lepton fields has been omitted, and in our notation, H and H' denote η or η_i , Λ denote M_N , φ_L is the $SU(3)$ lepton triplet and λ is a matrix in flavour space. Then there has three types of this O_5 operators, *i.e.*, $(\eta\varphi_{iL})^2$, $(\eta\varphi_{iL})(\eta_i\varphi_{jL})$ and $(\eta_i\varphi_{jL})^2$. The operator $(\eta\varphi_{iL})^2$ which has the form of $\underline{3} \times \underline{3}$ contributes a term proportional to the identity matrix. Next, $(\eta\varphi_{iL})(\eta_i\varphi_{jL})$, which is formed by $\underline{3} \times \underline{3} \times \underline{3}$, has the form $\eta(\varphi_{1L}\eta_2\varphi_{3L} + \varphi_{2L}\eta_3\varphi_{1L} + \varphi_{3L}\eta_1\varphi_{2L})$, and its analogous form. Thus, the operator $(\eta\varphi_{iL})(\eta_i\varphi_{jL})$ contributes the term denoted by β in (20). Finally, the operator $(\eta_i\varphi_{jL})^2$ actually denotes schematically 4 different operators since it is formed by $(\underline{3} \times \underline{3}) \times (\underline{3} \times \underline{3})$ and this contains $\underline{1} \times \underline{1}$, $\underline{1}' \times \underline{1}''$, $\underline{3} \times \underline{3}$, $\underline{3} \times \underline{3}$ and $\underline{3} \times \underline{3}$, corresponding respectively to the operators $(\eta_1\varphi_{1L} + \eta_2\varphi_{2L} + \eta_3\varphi_{3L})^2$, $(\eta_1\varphi_{1L} + \omega\eta_2\varphi_{2L} + \omega^2\eta_3\varphi_{3L}) \cdot (\eta_1\varphi_{1L} + \omega^2\eta_2\varphi_{2L} + \omega\eta_3\varphi_{3L})$, $(\eta_2\varphi_{3L}, \eta_3\varphi_{1L}, \eta_1\varphi_{2L}) \cdot (\eta_3\varphi_{2L}, \eta_1\varphi_{3L}, \eta_2\varphi_{1L})$, $(\eta_3\varphi_{2L}, \eta_1\varphi_{3L}, \eta_2\varphi_{1L}) \cdot (\eta_3\varphi_{2L}, \eta_1\varphi_{3L}, \eta_2\varphi_{1L})$ and $(\eta_2\varphi_{3L}, \eta_3\varphi_{1L}, \eta_1\varphi_{2L}) \cdot (\eta_2\varphi_{3L}, \eta_3\varphi_{1L}, \eta_1\varphi_{2L})$. Where η and η_i acquire the VEVs of $\langle \eta \rangle = u$ and $\langle \eta_i \rangle = (u', 0, 0)$.

Then we obtain a more general form of the neutrino mass matrix m_ν as,

$$m_\nu = -\frac{1}{M_N} \begin{pmatrix} \gamma & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \alpha - \varepsilon & \beta \\ 0 & \beta & \alpha + \varepsilon \end{pmatrix}, \quad (25)$$

rather than the mass matrix m_ν in Eq. (20) [13].

At this point, it could only suppose that ε is small compared to β , in which case U_ν is perturbed from the desired V_L^ν in Eq. (21) to

$$V_L^\nu = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 \\ \cos\theta & 0 & -\sin\theta \\ \sin\theta & 0 & \cos\theta \end{pmatrix}. \quad (26)$$

where $\theta = \frac{\pi}{4} + \delta$ ($\delta \ll 1$), $\sin\theta \simeq \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(1 + \frac{\varepsilon}{2\beta})$, $\cos\theta \simeq \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(1 - \frac{\varepsilon}{2\beta})$. In this order, the neutrino masses come out to be $\alpha + \sqrt{\beta^2 + \varepsilon^2}$, γ , and $\alpha - \sqrt{\beta^2 + \varepsilon^2}$.

Then the matrix V becomes,

$$V = A_L^\dagger V_L^\nu = \frac{1}{\sqrt{3}} \begin{pmatrix} c_1 & & \\ & c_2 & \\ & & c_3 \end{pmatrix} \cdot \begin{pmatrix} \cos\theta + \sin\theta & 1 & \cos\theta - \sin\theta \\ \omega(\sin\theta + \omega\cos\theta) & 1 & \omega(\cos\theta - \omega\sin\theta) \\ \omega(\cos\theta + \omega\sin\theta) & 1 & \omega(-\sin\theta + \omega\cos\theta) \end{pmatrix} \quad (27)$$

$$\simeq \frac{1}{\sqrt{6}} \begin{pmatrix} c_1 & & \\ & c_2 & \\ & & c_3 \end{pmatrix} \cdot \begin{pmatrix} 2 & \sqrt{2} & -\frac{\varepsilon}{\beta} \\ -1 + \frac{\sqrt{3}\varepsilon}{2\beta}i & \sqrt{2} & \sqrt{3}i + \frac{\varepsilon}{2\beta} \\ -1 - \frac{\sqrt{3}\varepsilon}{2\beta}i & \sqrt{2} & -\sqrt{3}i + \frac{\varepsilon}{2\beta} \end{pmatrix} \quad (28)$$

$$= P_c V_{tri-bi} \begin{pmatrix} \cos\delta & 0 & -\sin\delta \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ i\sin\delta & 0 & i\cos\delta \end{pmatrix} \quad (29)$$

Compared with Eq. (23), the middle column is uncorrected at this level. At the same time a nonzero V_{e3} element is generated, and there are other small deviations from exact tri-bimaximal mixing.

V. CONCLUSION

The non-abelian discrete symmetry A_4 appears to be particularly fit for the purpose to produce the neutrino tri-bimaximal mixing pattern in the standard $SU(2)_L \times U(1)_Y$ theory. In this paper we have generalized the A_4 study to the 3-3-1 model. In the version we consider here there are negative charged leptons and right handed neutrinos in addition to the ordinary SM leptons. By combining the A_4 symmetry and canonical see saw mechanism we have reproduced the observed neutrino tri-bimaximal mixing matrix. The smallness of neutrino masses can be explained naturally without introducing too heavy neutral leptons. Our main results are Eq. (23) and Eq. (29). Numerically they are consistent with the present experimental constraints [34, 35, 36]. A small but non-zero mixing angle V_{e3} present in Eq. (29). This angle has been assumed to be zero in tri-bimaximal form but it is only required experimentally to be small *i.e.* $|V_{e3}| < 0.16$ [37], and maybe is measured more accurately by the daya bay reactor neutrino experiments [38].

Acknowledgments

We would like to thank Professor Xiaoyuan Li for suggesting this study, carefully reading of the manuscript and valuable guidance. This work is supported in part by the China National Natural Science Foundation under grant No. 10475106.

Appendix: Basic A_4 properties and the potential

The model is based on the discrete group A_4 following refs. [30, 31], where its structure and representations are described in detail. It is appropriate to recall briefly some relevant features of it. A_4 symmetry is the discrete symmetry group of the rotations that leave a tetrahedron invariant, or the group of the even permutations of 4 objects. It has 12 elements and 4 inequivalent irreducible representations denoted $\underline{1}$, $\underline{1}'$, $\underline{1}''$ and $\underline{3}$ in terms of their respective dimensions. Introducing ω , the cubic root of unity, $\omega = \exp i\frac{2\pi}{3}$, so that $1 + \omega + \omega^2 = 0$, the three one-dimensional representations are obtained by dividing the 12 elements of A_4 in three classes, which are determined by the multiplication rule, and assigning to (class 1, class 2, class 3) a factor $(1, 1, 1)$ for $\underline{1}$, or $(1, \omega, \omega^2)$ for $\underline{1}'$ or $(1, \omega^2, \omega)$ for $\underline{1}''$. The product of two $\underline{3}$ gives $\underline{3} \times \underline{3} = \underline{1} + \underline{1}' + \underline{1}'' + \underline{3} + \underline{3}$. Also $\underline{1}' \times \underline{1}' = \underline{1}''$, $\underline{1}' \times \underline{1}'' = \underline{1}$, $\underline{1}'' \times \underline{1}'' = \underline{1}'$ etc. For $\underline{3} \sim (a_1, a_2, a_3)$ and $\underline{3} \sim (b_1, b_2, b_3)$, the irreducible representations obtained from their tensor products,

$$\begin{aligned} \underline{3} \times \underline{3} = & \underline{1}(a_1b_1 + a_2b_2 + a_3b_3) + \underline{1}'(a_1b_1 + \omega a_2b_2 + \omega^2 a_3b_3) + \underline{1}''(a_1b_1 + \omega^2 a_2b_2 + \omega a_3b_3) \\ & + \underline{3}(a_2b_3, a_3b_1, a_1b_2) + \underline{3}(a_3b_2, a_1b_3, a_2b_1) \end{aligned} \quad (30)$$

As required in section III, there are need a mechanism such that the scalar fields develop a VEV along the directions,

$$\begin{aligned} \langle \chi \rangle &= V, \\ \langle \rho_i \rangle &= (v, v, v), \\ \langle \eta \rangle &= u, \\ \langle \eta'_i \rangle &= (u', 0, 0). \end{aligned} \quad (31)$$

Here for the convenience of depiction, we replace η_i with η'_i in this section.

When we study the Higgs potential for $SU(3) \times U(1)$ scalars, we should consider all various representations for A_4 . For the sake of simplicity, previous to give the whole potential, we restrict to the Higgs potential for a single $SU(3) \times U(1)$ scalar triplet ϕ_i which transform $\underline{3}$ under A_4 . The multiplication $\underline{3} \times \underline{3} = \underline{1} + \underline{1}' + \underline{1}'' + \underline{3} + \underline{3}$ shows that there is only one quadratic invariant. Since $(\underline{3} \times \underline{3}) \times (\underline{3} \times \underline{3})$ contains $\underline{1}$ five times, corresponding to $\underline{1} \times \underline{1}$, $\underline{1}' \times \underline{1}''$, $\underline{3} \times \underline{3}$, $\underline{3} \times \underline{3}$, and $\underline{3} \times \underline{3}$, there should have 5 quartic invariants and the last two terms $\underline{3} \times \underline{3}$ and $\underline{3} \times \underline{3}$ are the complex conjugate with each other. Then the Higgs potential of the single $SU(3) \times U(1)$ scalar triplet ϕ_i which transform $\underline{3}$ under A_4 is given by,

$$\begin{aligned} V(\phi) = & \mu_\phi^2 \sum_i \phi_i^\dagger \phi_i + \frac{1}{2} \lambda_1 \left(\sum_i \phi_i^\dagger \phi_i \right)^2 + \frac{1}{2} \lambda_2 \sum_{i,j} (3\delta_{i,j} - 1) (\phi_i^\dagger \phi_i) (\phi_j^\dagger \phi_j) \\ & + \frac{1}{2} \lambda_3 \sum_{i \neq j} (\phi_i^\dagger \phi_j) (\phi_j^\dagger \phi_i) + \frac{1}{2} \lambda_4 \sum_{i \neq j} (\phi_i^\dagger \phi_j)^2. \end{aligned} \quad (32)$$

Note that for the sake of simplicity, we have taken λ 's and v_i 's to be real, since our focus here is not on CP violation.

This potential has minimum at $v_1 = v_2 = v_3 = v = \sqrt{-\frac{\mu_\phi^2}{3\lambda_1+2\lambda_3+2\lambda_4}}$ or at $v_1 = \sqrt{-\frac{\mu_\phi^2}{\lambda_1+2\lambda_2}}$, $v_2 = v_3 = 0$.

Consider all the scalar triplets in the model and note that under A_4 the $\underline{3} \times \underline{3} \times \underline{3} = \underline{1}$ is possible, *i.e.* 1 2 3 + permutations. So the $SU(3)_C \otimes SU(3)_L \otimes U(1)_X \otimes A_4$ invariant higgs potential of the model can be written as,

$$V(\chi) = \mu_\chi^2(\chi^\dagger\chi) + \frac{1}{2}\lambda_1^\chi(\chi^\dagger\chi)^2 \quad (33)$$

$$V(\rho) = \mu_\rho^2 \sum_i \rho_i^\dagger \rho_i + \frac{1}{2}\lambda_1^\rho \left(\sum_i \rho_i^\dagger \rho_i\right)^2 + \frac{1}{2}\lambda_2^\rho \sum_{i,j} (3\delta_{i,j} - 1)(\rho_i^\dagger \rho_i)(\rho_j^\dagger \rho_j) \\ + \frac{1}{2}\lambda_3^\rho \sum_{i \neq j} (\rho_i^\dagger \rho_j)(\rho_j^\dagger \rho_i) + \frac{1}{2}\lambda_4^\rho \sum_{i \neq j} (\rho_i^\dagger \rho_j)^2. \quad (34)$$

$$V(\eta) = \mu_\eta^2(\eta^\dagger\eta) + \frac{1}{2}\lambda_1^\eta(\eta^\dagger\eta)^2 \quad (35)$$

$$V(\eta') = \mu_{\eta'}^2 \sum_i \eta_i'^\dagger \eta_i' + \frac{1}{2}\lambda_1^{\eta'} \left(\sum_i \eta_i'^\dagger \eta_i'\right)^2 + \frac{1}{2}\lambda_2^{\eta'} \sum_{i,j} (3\delta_{i,j} - 1)(\eta_i'^\dagger \eta_i')(\eta_j'^\dagger \eta_j') \\ + \frac{1}{2}\lambda_3^{\eta'} \sum_{i \neq j} (\eta_i'^\dagger \eta_j')(\eta_j'^\dagger \eta_i') + \frac{1}{2}\lambda_4^{\eta'} \sum_{i \neq j} (\eta_i'^\dagger \eta_j')^2. \quad (36)$$

$$V(\chi\rho) = \lambda_1^{\chi\rho}(\chi^\dagger\chi)\left(\sum_i \rho_i^\dagger \rho_i\right) + \lambda_2^{\chi\rho} \sum_i (\chi^\dagger \rho_i)(\rho_i^\dagger \chi) + \frac{1}{2}\lambda_3^{\chi\rho} \sum_i [(\chi^\dagger \rho_i)(\chi^\dagger \rho_i) + h.c.] \\ + \lambda_4^{\chi\rho} |\epsilon_{ijk}|[(\chi^\dagger \rho_i)(\rho_j^\dagger \rho_k) + h.c.] \quad (37)$$

$$V(\chi\eta) = \lambda_1^{\chi\eta}(\chi^\dagger\chi)(\eta^\dagger\eta) + \lambda_2^{\chi\eta}(\chi^\dagger\eta)(\eta^\dagger\chi) + \mu^{\chi\eta}(\chi\chi\eta) \quad (38)$$

$$V(\chi\eta') = \lambda_1^{\chi\eta'}(\chi^\dagger\chi)\left(\sum_i \eta_i'^\dagger \eta_i'\right) + \lambda_2^{\chi\eta'} \sum_i (\chi^\dagger \eta_i')(\eta_i'^\dagger \chi) \quad (39)$$

$$V(\rho\eta) = \lambda_1^{\rho\eta} \sum_i (\rho_i^\dagger \rho_i)(\eta^\dagger\eta) + \lambda_2^{\rho\eta} \sum_i (\rho_i^\dagger \eta)(\eta^\dagger \rho_i) + \mu^{\rho\eta} \sum_i (\rho_i \rho_i \eta) \quad (40)$$

$$V(\rho\eta') = \lambda_1^{\rho\eta'} \left(\sum_i \rho_i^\dagger \rho_i\right)\left(\sum_i \eta_i'^\dagger \eta_i'\right) + \frac{1}{2}\lambda_2^{\rho\eta'} \sum_{i,j} (3\delta_{i,j} - 1)(\rho_i^\dagger \rho_i)(\eta_j'^\dagger \eta_j') \\ + \frac{1}{2}\lambda_3^{\rho\eta'} \sum_{i \neq j} (\rho_i^\dagger \rho_j)(\eta_j'^\dagger \eta_j') + \frac{1}{2}\lambda_4^{\rho\eta'} \sum_{i \neq j} (\rho_i^\dagger \rho_j)(\eta_i'^\dagger \eta_j') + \frac{1}{2}\lambda_5^{\rho\eta'} \sum_{i,j} (3\delta_{i,j} - 1)(\rho_i^\dagger \eta_i)(\eta_j'^\dagger \rho_j') \\ + \frac{1}{2}\lambda_6^{\rho\eta'} \sum_{i \neq j} (\rho_i^\dagger \eta_j')(\eta_j'^\dagger \rho_i) + \frac{1}{2}\lambda_7^{\rho\eta'} \sum_{i \neq j} (\rho_i^\dagger \eta_j')(\eta_i'^\dagger \rho_j) + \mu^{\rho\eta'} |\epsilon^{ijk}|(\rho_i \rho_j \eta_k') \quad (41)$$

$$V(\eta\eta') = \lambda_1^{\eta\eta'}(\eta^\dagger\eta)\left(\sum_i \eta_i'^\dagger \eta_i'\right) + \lambda_2^{\eta\eta'} \sum_i (\eta^\dagger \eta_i')(\eta_i'^\dagger \eta) + \frac{1}{2}\lambda_3^{\eta\eta'} \sum_i [(\eta^\dagger \eta_i')(\eta^\dagger \eta_i') + h.c.] \\ + \lambda_4^{\eta\eta'} |\epsilon_{ijk}|[(\eta^\dagger \eta_i')(\eta_j'^\dagger \eta_k') + h.c.] \quad (42)$$

$$V(\chi\rho\eta') = \lambda_1^{\chi\rho\eta'} |\epsilon_{ijk}|[(\chi^\dagger \rho_i)(\eta_j'^\dagger \eta_k') + h.c.] + \lambda_2^{\chi\rho\eta'} |\epsilon_{ijk}|[(\chi^\dagger \eta_i')(\eta_j'^\dagger \rho_k') + h.c.] + \mu^{\chi\rho\eta'} \sum_i \rho_i \eta_j' \chi \quad (43)$$

$$V(\chi\rho\eta\eta') = \lambda_1^{\chi\rho\eta\eta'} \sum_i (\chi^\dagger \rho_i)(\eta^\dagger \eta_i') + \lambda_2^{\chi\rho\eta\eta'} \sum_i (\chi^\dagger \rho_i)(\eta_i'^\dagger \eta) + \lambda_3^{\chi\rho\eta\eta'} \sum_i (\chi^\dagger \eta)(\eta_i'^\dagger \rho_i) \\ + \lambda_4^{\chi\rho\eta\eta'} \sum_i (\chi^\dagger \eta_i')(\eta^\dagger \rho_i) + h.c. \quad (44)$$

Note that, in the order we discussed, the charged lepton mass matrix and the neutrino mass matrix are related by two separate scalar sectors χ , ρ_i and η , η_i' , respectively. If there is no communication between the two scalar sectors, the VEVs of ρ and η_i' given in Eq. (31) will break the A_4 symmetry to Z_3 symmetry in charged sector and Z_2 symmetry in neutrino sector and these residual symmetries will be maintained. In general, χ , ρ_i and η , η_i' mix in the potential and it is not possible to keep the VEVs structure for ρ and η_i' as Eq. (31). One needs to separate them from communicating in the scalar potential and therefore to simplify the vacuum alignment problem. Suppose that, at least at some level, the interchange between the fields χ, ρ_i and η, η_i' to produce the desired mass matrices in the charged and neutrino lepton sectors. We can determine the minima of two scalar potentials V_c and V_0 , depending

only, respectively, on χ , ρ_i and η , η'_i . There are whole regions of the parameter space where $V_c(\chi, \rho)$ and $V_0(\eta, \eta')$ have the minima VEVs given in Eq. (31).

First consider the scalar potential $V_c(\chi, \rho)$,

$$V_c(\chi, \rho) = V(\chi) + V(\rho) + V(\chi\rho). \quad (45)$$

Analyzing the field configuration as,

$$\langle \chi \rangle = V, \quad \langle \rho \rangle = (v, v, v), \quad (46)$$

then the minimum conditions are,

$$\frac{\partial V_c}{\partial \chi} = 2V(\mu_\chi^2 + \lambda_1^\chi V^2 + 3\lambda_1^{\chi\rho} v^2) \quad (47)$$

$$\frac{\partial V_c}{\partial \rho_i} = 2v(\mu_\rho^2 + 3\lambda_1^\rho v^2 + 2\lambda_3^\rho v^2 + 2\lambda_4^\rho v^2 + \lambda_1^{\chi\rho} V^2) \quad (48)$$

Therefore the $\langle \chi \rangle = V$ and $\langle \rho_i \rangle = (v, v, v)$ can be local minima of V_c depending on the parameters. Take into account A_4 symmetry there are four degenerate minima, i.e., $\langle \rho_i \rangle = (v, v, v)$, $\langle \rho_i \rangle = (v, -v, -v)$, $\langle \rho_i \rangle = (-v, v, -v)$ and $\langle \rho_i \rangle = (-v, -v, v)$ in this region.

Then consider the scalar potential $V_0(\eta, \eta')$,

$$V_0(\eta, \eta') = V(\eta) + V(\eta') + V(\eta\eta'). \quad (49)$$

Search for the minimum conditions at $\langle \eta \rangle = u$, $\langle \eta'_i \rangle = (u', 0, 0)$, then

$$\frac{\partial V_0}{\partial \eta} = 2u(\mu_\eta^2 + \lambda_1^\eta u^2 + \lambda_1^{\eta\eta'} u'^2 + \lambda_2^{\eta\eta'} u'^2 + \lambda_3^{\eta\eta'} u'^2) \quad (50)$$

$$\frac{\partial V_0}{\partial \eta'_i} = 2u'(\mu_{\eta'}^2 + \lambda_1^{\eta'} u'^2 + 2\lambda_2^{\eta'_i} u'^2 + \lambda_1^{\eta\eta'} u^2 + \lambda_2^{\eta\eta'} u^2 + \lambda_3^{\eta\eta'} u^2) \quad (51)$$

In this case $(\partial V_0 / \partial \eta'_{2,3}) = 0$ are automatically satisfied. There a large portion of the parameter space where the minimum is. In this region, there are six degenerate minima, i.e., $\langle \eta'_i \rangle = (\pm u', 0, 0)$, $\langle \eta'_i \rangle = (0, \pm u', 0)$ and $\langle \eta'_i \rangle = (0, 0, \pm u')$, related by A_4 symmetry. Putting together the minima of $V_c(\chi, \rho)$ and $V_0(\eta, \eta')$ there are 24 degenerate minima of the potential energy, differing for signs or ordering. It can be shown that these 24 minima produce exactly the same mass pattern discussed in section III, up to field and parameter redefinitions. Therefore it is not restrictive to choose one of them.

-
- [1] For a brief review, see A. Yu. Smirnov, hep-ph/0402264; G. Altarelli, Nucl. Phys. **B**, Proc. Suppl. 143, 470(2005).
[2] Super-Kamiokande Collaboration, Y. Fukuda *et al.*, Phys. Rev. Lett. **81**, 1562(1998); Y. Ashie *et al.*, Phys. Rev. Lett. **93**, 101801(2004); hep-ex/0510064.
[3] KamLAND Collaboration, K. Eguchi *et al.*, Phys. Rev. Lett. **90**, 021802(2003); T. Araki *et al.*, Phys. Rev. Lett. **94**, 081801(2005).
[4] SNO Collaboration, Q. R. Ahmad *et al.*, Phys. Rev. Lett. **89**, 011301(2002); Phys. Rev. Lett. **89**, 011302 (2002); Phys. Rev. Lett. **92**, 181301(2004); B. Aharmim *et al.*, Phys. Rev. **C72**, 055502(2005).
[5] P. F. Harrison, D. H. Perkins and W. G. Scott, Phys. Lett. **B530**, 167 (2002); Z. Z. Xing, Phys. Lett. **B533**, 85(2002).
[6] X. G. He and A. Zee, Phys. Lett. **B560**, 87(2003); Phys. Rev. **D68**, 037302(2003).
[7] S. Weinberg, Phys. Rev. Lett. **43**, 1566(1979); F. Wilczek and A. Zee, Phys. Rev. Lett. **43**, 1571(1979).
[8] E. Ma Phys. Lett. **81**, 1171(1998); Phys. Rev. Lett. **86**, 2502 (2001); Phys. Rev. **D66**, 037301(2002); Phys. Rev. **D73**, 077301(2006).
[9] M. Gell-Mann, P. Ramond, and R. Slansky, in *Supergravity*, edited by P. van Nieuwenhuizen and D. Z. Freedman (North-Holland, Amsterdam, 1979), p. 315; T. Yanagida, in *Proceedings of the Workshop on the Unified Theory and the Baryon Number in the Universe*, edited by O. Sawada and A. Sugamoto (KEK Report No. 79-18, Tsukuba, Japan, 1979), p. 95; R. N. Mohapatra and G. Senjanovic, Phys. Rev. Lett. **44**, 912 (1980).
[10] C. I. Low and R. R. Volkas, Phys. Rev. **D68**, 033007(2003); W. Rodejohann and Z. Z. Xing, Phys. Lett. **B601**, 176(2004); Z. Z. Xing and S. Zhou, Phys. Lett. **B606**, 145(2005); J. W. Mei, Z. Z. Xing, Phys. Lett. **B623**, 227(2005); J. E. Kim and J.-C. Park, **JHEP0605**, 017(2006); W. Grimus and L. Lavoura, **JHEP0601**, 018(2006); I. Varizelas, S.-F. King and G. G. Ross, hep-ph/0512313, hep-ph/0607045; N. Singh, M. Rajkhowa and A. Borach, hep-ph/0603189; P. Kovtun and A. Zee, Phys. Lett. **B640**, 37(2006); R. Mohapatra, S. Naris and Y.-H. Yu, Phys. Lett. **B639**, 318(2006); N. Haba, A. Watanabe and K. Yoshioka, Phys. Rev. Lett. **97**, 041601(2006).

- [11] E. Ma, Mod. Phys. Lett. **A17**, 289 (2002); *ibid.* **A17**, 627(2002); E. Ma, Mod. Phys. Lett. **A17**, 2361(2002).
- [12] E. Ma, hep-ph/0208077; hep-ph/0208097; hep-ph/0307016; hep-ph/0311215; New J. Phys. **6**, 104(2004); hep-ph/0409075; E. Ma, Mod. Phys. Lett. **A20**, 1953(2005); S. L. Chen, M. Frigerio, and E. Ma, Nucl. Phys. **A764**, 423(2006); E. Ma, hep-ph/0606024; hep-ph/0606039.
- [13] E. Ma, Phys. Rev. **D66**, 117301(2002); Phys. Rev. **D73**, 057304(2006); K. S. Babu and X. G. He, hep-ph/0507217; G. Altarelli and F. Feruglio, Nucl. Phys. **B720**, 64(2005); Nucl. Phys. **B741**, 215(2006); K. S. Babu and X-G. He, hep-ph/0507217.
- [14] X. G. He, Yong-Yeon Keum and Ray Volkas, **JHEP0604**, 039(2006); X.-G. He and A. Zee, hep-ph/067163; S. K. Kang, Z. Z. Xing and S. Zhou, Phys. Rev. **D73**, 013001(2006).
- [15] F. Pisano and V. Pleitez, Phys. Rev. **D46**, 410(1992); P. H. Frampton, Phys. Rev. Lett. **69**, 2889(1992).
- [16] R. Foot, H. N. Long and T. A. Tran, Phys. Rev. **D50**, R34(1994); H. N. Long, Phys. Rev. **D53**, 437(1996); *ibid.* **D54**, 4691(1996); H. N. Long, Mod. Phys. Lett. **A13**, 1865(1998). A. Carcamo, R. Martinez and F.Ochoa, Phys. Rev. **D73**, 035007(2006); D. Chang and H. N. Long, Phys. Rev. **D73**, 053006(2006).
- [17] R. A. Diaz R. Martinea and F. Ocha, Phys. Rev. **D69**, 095009(2004); Phys. Rev. **D72**, 035018(2005).
- [18] H. N. Long and T. A. Tran, Mod. Phys. Lett. **A9**, 2507(1994); F. Pisano and V. Pleitez, Phys. Rev. **D51**, 3865(1995); I. Cotaescu, Int. J. Mod. Phys. **A12**, 1483(1997); A. Doff and F. Pisano, Phys. Rev. **D63**, 097903(2001); hep-ph/0011087.
- [19] J. Alexis Rodriguez and M. sher, Phys. Rev. **D70**, 117702(2004).
- [20] J. C. Montero, F. Pisano and V. Pleitez, Phys. Rev. **D47**, 2918(1993); R. Foot, O. F. Hernandez, F. Pisano and V. Pleitez, Phys. Rev. **D47**, 4158(1993); V. Pleitez and M. D. Tonasse, Phys. Rev. **D48**, 2353(1993); *ibid* 5274(1993); L. Epele, H. Fanchiotti, C. García Canal and D. Gómez Dumm, Phys. Lett. **B343**, 291(1995); M. Özer, Phys. Rev. **D54**, 4561(1996).
- [21] M. D. Tonasse, Phys. Lett. **B381**, 191(1996); D. Gomez Dumm, Int. J. Mod. Phys. **A11**, 887(1996); N. T. Anh, N. A. Ky, and H. N. Long, Int. J. Mod. Phys. **A15**, 283(2000); *ibid*, **A16**, 541(2001).
- [22] M. Singer, J. W. F. Valle and J. Schechter, Phys. Rev. **D22**, 738(1980); M. Özer, Phys. Rev. **D54**, 1143(1996).
- [23] L. A. Sánchez, W. A. Ponce, and R. Martínez, Phys. Rev. **D64**, 075013(2001); R. Martínez, W. A. Ponce and L. A. Sánchez, Phys. Rev. **D65**, 055013(2002). W. A. Ponce, J. B. Flórez, and L. A. Sánchez, Int. J. Mod. Phys. **A17**, 643(2002).
- [24] Y. Okamoto and M. Yasuè, Phys. Lett. **B466**, 267(1999); T. Kitabayashi and M. Yasue, Phys. Rev. **D63**, 095002(2001); Phys. Rev. **D63**, 095006(2001); Nucl. Phys. **B609**, 61(2001); Phys. Rev. **D67**, 015006(2003).
- [25] M. B. Tully and G. C. Joshi, Phys. Rev. **D64**, 011301(R)(2001); J. C. Montero, C. A. Pires and V. Pleitez, Phys. Lett. **B502**, 167(2001).
- [26] W. A. Ponce, Y. Giraldo and L. A. Sanchez, hep-ph/0201133; W. A. Ponce, Y. Giraldo and L. A. Sanchez, Phys. Rev. **D67**, 075001(2003).
- [27] T. Kitabayashi and M. Yasue, Phys. Lett. **B508**, 85(2001); Phys. Rev. **D63**, 095002(2001); Phys. Rev. **D63**, 095006(2001); T. Kitabayashi, Phys. Rev. **D64**, 057301(2001); hep-ph/0010341.
- [28] J. C. Montero, C. A. de S. Pires and V. Pleitez, Phys. Rev. **D65**, 093017(2002); J. C. Montero, C. A. de S. Pires and V. Pleitez, Phys. Rev. **D65**, 095001(2002); J. C. Montero, C. A. de S. Pires and V. Pleitez, Phys. Rev. **D66**, 113003(2002).
- [29] D. Ng, Phys. Lett **D49**, 4805(1994); A. Palcu, Mod. Phys. Lett **A21**, 1203(2006).
- [30] E. Ma, Phys. Rev. **D70**, 031901(R)(2004); E. Ma, arXiv:hep-ph/0409075; E. Ma, New J. Phys. **6**, 104(2004). G. Alatarelli and F. Feruglio, Phys. Rev. **D72**, 094030(2005).
- [31] E. Ma and G. Rajasekaran, Phys. Rev. **D64**, 113012(2001); K. S. Babu, E. Ma and J. W. F. Valle, Phys. Lett. **B552**, 207(2003); M. Hirsch, J. C. Romao, S. Skadhauge, J. W. F. Valle and A. Villanova del Moral, hep-ph/0312244; M. Hirsch, J. C. Romao, S. Skadhauge, J. W. F. Valle and A. Villanova del Moral, Phys. Rev. **D69**, 093006(2004).
- [32] P. Langacker and D. London, Phys. Rev. **D38**, 886(1988).
- [33] A. Zee, Phys. Lett. **B630**, 58(2005); G. Altarelli, hep-ph/0508053; E. Ma, Phys. Rev. **D72**, 037301(2005); Mod. Phys. Lett **A20**, 2601(2005); X. G. He, Y. Y. Keum and Raymond R. Volkas, **JHEP0604**, 039(2006).
- [34] MARCO Collaboration, M. Ambrosio et al., Eur. Phys. J. **C36**, 323(2004); Soudan 2 Collaboration, M. Sanchez et al., Phys. Rev. **D68**, 113004(2003); CHOOZ Collaboration, M. Apollonio et al., Eur. Phys. J. **C27**, 331(2003); S. Eidelman, et al., Particle Data Group, Phys. Lett. **B592**, 1(2004); K2K Collaboration, E. Aliu et al., Phys. Rev. Lett. **94**, 081802(2005).
- [35] A. Strumia and F. Vissani, hep-ph/0606054; X. G. He and A. Zee, hep-ph/0607163.
- [36] C. Gonzalez-Garcia, <http://www.dpf2003.org/xx/neutrino/concha.pdf>.
- [37] M. Apollonio, et al., Phys. Lett. **B466**, 415(1999); F. Boehm, et al., Phys. Rev. **D64**, 112001(2001).
- [38] For the brief introduction of the experiments, see <http://dayawane.ihep.ac.cn/cn/index.html>.